## **Announcement Timing and Asset Prices**

Kerry Back, Rice University Bruce Carlin, Rice University Seyed Kazempour, L.S.U. Chloe Xie, M.I.T.

#### **Empirical Returns Around Outliers**



Kapadia-Zekhnini (JFE, 2019) – 30 days before and after  $\pm$  3 sigma returns. Most outlier returns are on announcement days. Typical stock earns its total annual return on 4.5 of these days per year.

Announcements of earnings	47,467
Executive/Board changes — other	6425
Client announcements	6212
Product-related announcements	5645
M&A transaction announcements	4774
Earnings  calls	4735
Corporate guidance — raised	4126
Conference presentation calls	3803
Corporate guidance — new/confirmed	2930
Corporate guidance — lowered	2722

- Firms tend to announce bad earnings on Friday afternoons (DeHaan, Shevlin & Thornock, 2015)
- Firms delay bad news and escalate good news (Johnson & So, 2018).
- Firms delay when peers announce good news and escalate when they announce bad news (small but significant effect).
- Wall Street Journal (May 4, 2022):

Lyft's commentary was so bad, Uber Technologies moved up its earnings release and conference call after watching its own shares trade off sharply in sympathy.

- Many small negative returns from silence
- Some large positive returns from announcements
  - $\Rightarrow$  positive skewness and high kurtosis (Albuquerque, 2012)
- High conditional risk premia and high average announcement returns
- Over-estimation of alphas around announcements
- Greater effects when there are multiple correlated firms.

### Seminal Work on Voluntary Truthful Revelation

- Static models
  - Grossman (1981), Milgrom (1981): unraveling  $\Rightarrow$  everyone announces
  - Dye (1985), Jung & Kwon (1988): possibility of being uninformed limits unraveling
  - Dye & Hughes (2017): risk-averse investors ⇒ nondisclosure increases variance
- Dynamic models: Acharya, DeMarzo & Kremer (2011)
  - Exogenous correlated public announcement
  - Optionality ⇒ may be optimal to delay until after exogenous announcement.
  - Bad public news  $\Rightarrow$  announce immediately afterwards
  - Good public news  $\Rightarrow$  enjoy higher price for awhile and then announce

# Model

- Time interval [0,1]
- Two firms with signals = values x
  <sub>i</sub> that are symmetric normal with correlation ρ ≥ 0.
- Firms get their signals at independent uniformly distributed random times.
- Firms choose announcement dates. Announcements are discretionary but must be truthful.
- Firms are known to be informed by time *t* = 1, so unraveling everyone announces then or before.

- Constant risk-free rate, normalized to zero
- Representative CARA investor who consumes  $\tilde{w}$  at date 1, risk aversion =  $\kappa$
- $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{w})$  are joint normal and symmetric in  $\tilde{x}_1$  and  $\tilde{x}_2$ .
- SDF is

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\kappa \tilde{w}}}{\mathsf{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\kappa \tilde{w}}\right]}$$

• Or use risk-neutral pricing. Risk-neutral distribution of  $\tilde{x}_i$  is normal with same variance and correlation but different mean

$$\mu^* = \mu - \kappa \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{w})$$

- Assume firms care about short-run prices.
- Assume firms maximize the risk-neutral expectation of the average price between *t* = 0 and *t* = 1:

$$\mathsf{E}^* \int_0^1 P_{it} \,\mathrm{d}t \,.$$

- Option to announce is option to exchange  $P_{it}$  for  $\tilde{x}_i$ .
- Look for Perfect Bayes Equilibrium

- I. Firm 2 is nonstrategic announces when it gets information
- II. Both firms are strategic choose optimal announcement timesgiven the other's announcement policy

Qualitative asset pricing implications are very similar. Magnitudes are larger in Model II. From 100,000 simulated paths for each model:

- 1st announcer returns > 2nd, as in Savor-Wilson, 2016
- $\bullet\,$  1st announcer returns: Model II  $\,>\,$  Model I
- $\bullet\,$  2nd announcer returns: Model II  $\,>\,$  Model I
- In Model II, mean announcement return  $> 2.5 \times$  unconditional risk premium, but only  $\approx 25\%$  of firms delay announcements.

# Equilibrium, Risk Premia, and the CAPM

#### **Equilibrium Prior to Announcements**



If signal = 96, option to announce is at the money at  $t \approx 0.36$ but it is not optimal to announce until  $t \approx 0.43$ .

Parameters:  $\mu = 105$ ,  $\mu^* = 100$ ,  $\sigma = 15$ 

#### **Risk Premium Prior to Announcements**



Dollar risk premium  $E_t[\tilde{x}] - P_t$  rises before announcements. Percent risk premium rises even faster.

Parameters:  $\mu = 105$ ,  $\mu^* = 100$ ,  $\sigma = 15$ 

#### Model 1 Density Prior to Announcements



Parameters:  $\mu =$  105,  $\mu^* =$  100,  $\sigma =$  15

#### Probability Negative News Has Been Withheld



Physical Probability: Risk-Neutral Probability:  $\frac{t \cdot \operatorname{prob}(\tilde{x} < P_t)}{t \cdot \operatorname{prob}^*(\tilde{x} < P_t) + 1 - t}$   $\frac{t \cdot \operatorname{prob}^*(\tilde{x} < P_t)}{t \cdot \operatorname{prob}^*(\tilde{x} < P_t) + 1 - t}$ 

### Alpha Prior to Announcements



Returns from buying at t and holding until time 1 Mis-estimated beta is date-0 beta. Ignoring time variation in market risk  $\Rightarrow$  over-estimate alpha.

Parameters:  $\mu = 105$ ,  $\mu^* = 100$ ,  $\sigma = 15$ 

#### Theoretical Kapadia-Zekhnini Figure



Day Relative to Jump Day

Average over  $\pm$  3 sigma returns on days 30 < t < 120 in a 150-day calibration.

# Optionality and the Decision to Announce

- Suppose firm 1 has its signal x
  <sub>1</sub> at time t and Pt < x
  <sub>1</sub>. It incurs a flow opportunity cost (x
  <sub>1</sub> − Pt) dt if it does not announce.
- Firm 1 thinks: What if firm 2 announces in the next instant?
- Firm 1 should delay if and only if the expected benefit from firm 2's announcement is greater than the flow cost (x̃<sub>1</sub> − P<sub>t</sub>) dt.

- Suppose firm 1 has its signal x
  <sub>1</sub> at time t and Pt < x
  <sub>1</sub>. It incurs a flow opportunity cost (x
  <sub>1</sub> − Pt) dt if it does not announce.
- Firm 1 thinks: What if firm 2 announces in the next instant?
- Firm 1 should delay if and only if the expected benefit from firm 2's announcement is greater than the flow cost (x̃<sub>1</sub> − P<sub>t</sub>) dt.

- Take t = 0.43 and  $\tilde{x}_1 = 96$  and conjecture that  $B_t = 96$  is the equilibrium boundary.
- Then, for firm 1, the expected benefit of delay must equal the flow cost (ADK).
- In Model II, there are two reasons firm 2 might announce: its value is 96, or it just learned its value, which is above 96.
- It turns out that only the second reason is relevant for the expected benefit of delay.

## Firm 1's Thought Experiment



- Optimal for firm 1 to announce immediately if firm 2 makes a low announcement (at *B<sub>t</sub>* or slightly above).
- Optimal to delay and enjoy the higher price for awhile if firm 2 makes a high announcement ("triangular" shaded area).
- Only announcements by firm 2 when it just gets its signal contribute to firm 1's expected benefit of delay.

## Conclusion

- If firms are eager to announce good news but are less quick to announce bad news, then stock returns will reflect it.
  - Positive skewness
  - High kurtosis
  - Negative drifts before positive jumps
  - High risk premia and announcement returns
  - Failure of CAPM
  - Empirical over-estimation of alphas around announcements
- Effects are magnified with multiple strategic firms.
- Would be useful to study risk premia and announcement returns in a "more dynamic" model, with information evolving continuously and multiple announcements (as in Kremer, Schreiber, & Skrzypacz, 2024, Disclosing a Random Walk).

# Thank You!